# Respiratory Protection: Learning from Operational Experience

Paul Cooper 9<sup>th</sup> December 2021

## Agenda

- RPE in emergencies
  - Why is it different?
  - So what?
  - What can be done?
- Case study London, 2006
- Conclusions



VS



# Why is it different?

#### Environment

- Uncontrolled environment
  - Access, weather, media, the public
- Potentially unknown types of hazard
- Potentially unknown levels of hazard

### Urgency

- There will be a need for urgent action it's what defines a crisis!
- 'Disaster addiction'
  - People will over-perform...their equipment may not



# So what...?

- Traditional risk assessment approach is problematic
  - Real-time sampling/analysis of hazard
  - Specialists may have limited experience of wearing RPE
  - Difficult to assess how risks may change as events unfold
  - Assessing collective risk (e.g. dose-sharing) is complicated
- Specifying the correct RPE to match the hazard
  - Competent responders with the right RPE and the right training
  - Infrastructure to support complex RPE will be non-existent
  - Mixed hazards





# What can be done?

- Flexible risk assessment
  - Consider dynamic hold points
  - Characterise the hazard ASAP
  - Use the 'onion layer' approach to hazard



- Using the environment to our advantage
  - Natural barriers (and airflows where possible)
- Align organisational policies where possible



Option	Protection Factor (Assigned (UK))	Wear-time	Operational Burden	Training
PP2 Mask	10	~4 hours	<b>Very Low</b> (single use, disposable)	<b>Simple</b> (minutes)
S10 respirator	40	~1 hour	<b>Medium</b> (cleaning, filter change)	<b>Complex</b> (hours)
SCBA	2000	~10-45 minutes	Very High (cleaning, recharging)	<b>Very complex</b> (hours/days)



#### The 'warm' zone

- More people
- Fewer specialist tasks
- The 'hot' zone



- As small as possible...
- ...to ensure safe delivery of tasks



- 'Extra hot' zone?
  - May be required to isolate and manage 'extra hot' areas within 'hot' zone
  - May be multiple 'extra hot' zones

## Case study – London, 2006

- Multiple scenes (with different hazard profiles)
  - Working hotels, domestic residences, offices, planes, cars,
- Agencies were used to protecting the scene – not themselves
  - RPE/PPE specifications differed

- Contamination 'Hot Spots' were often very discrete items (clothing, teapot)
  - Required specialist RPE to recover safely
- Monitoring results shared with multi-agencies
  - Initially differing policies and risk assessments
- Worried well media attention political pressure

### Conclusions

- RPE selection and use for emergencies is complex
- Risk assessments to inform RPE must be flexible
  - Expect several iterations
  - May require 'extra hot' zones to be specified
- There may be a need to start with simple RPE/PPE and use hold points
  - i.e. Do what we can...now
- Complex RPE requires complex supporting infrastructure
- People will want to work for longer than their RPE will allow